P. F. 74 of 98.

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897-98.

191 .

(L.R.)

Later references.

File No. 94 of 97.

Serial Nos. 1 to 11.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 11.

In **Part B** for April 1899.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Sautharaja Heggade of Harnada Village, Mudagere taluk, regarding the transfer of registry of some of his lands.

Communicated

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Indexed

936

Petition No 1928 dated 25.1.98

RD 7.30 P.M.
8.2.98.

call for record
with report &
next on record
court clerk
10.3.98

13/3/98

13/3/98

14/3/98

Mr. Advocate for the
petitioner

13/3

15.3.98.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 63 of 1897-98

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Sankaraja Heggade		Guruvija Shetti

Subject:- Dispute regarding the transfer of Khata No. 4 of Hasanad village, Mudigere Taluk from the name of the appellant to that of the respondent.

List of papers in English

(1) Appeal Petition (original)

(2) Official Memorandum

(3) Deputy Commr's report.

13/2/89

appellant not present: Mayo for adjournment

adj^d to 29th March 1899.

13/2/89.

Precedent to frame
a Petition Praying
for a writ of
Certiorari
Reg. No. LR. 94-97
Reg. Fee Rs.
25/-/-

1898

No. 28 of 98

Name of Petitioner Sundaravyar Reggan

Village Gonnadu

Taluk Mudigere

District Kadur

Date of Arzi ?

Date of Receipt 21-1-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 3

nbd

(1) 2Rs Stamp affixed. Stamps cancelled

(2) Order of Deputy Commr appealed against is dated 7th Jan 98 but time

12/98

(3) appeal against D. comm's order directing the transfer of upstairs of N.K. Taluk No 4 from Petr's name to that of Respdt

(4) Council case

= The Petr's Agents are meaningless, as the D. comm has directed the transfer on Petr's Consent & a very reasonable, Bona-fide order shows that there is a bona fide dispute about the property & that the C-Petr's claim is not only a fraudulent one

the Lands Comprised in the Dispute. (Nagadi Venkat Naob (Sic) Sundarappa) transfer registry may be refused,

Put by Counsel

My 8/2/98

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the decision of the Deputy Commissioner dated 7-1-98, in the following, that it is erroneous to order transfer of the patta in the name of Respondent taking a Rayas name preceded by a writ that the Taluk authorities modifying the patta in the Respondent's favour on an application filed by the appellant on 15-9-97, that since the lands have been in the holding & under Cultivation of the appellant having Kandayam tenure, that the D...

Bynum's decision is illegal
in so much as that officer
did not go through the entire
record, but acted on a mere
application filed by the Registrant
on the instigation of some ill-willed
people - that the decision of the
lower court is against law -
Prays that the order of the lower
court in this case be set aside and
Respondent directed to pay
the appellant's cost throughout

A
5/1/98

Presented in person
by Petitioner
25/1/98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 1928
DATE 25-1-98

1928

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು (ಕಂದಾಯ)

2

Pet 1161 12 (2)

17-3-98

8

LR 94-97-2

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1928 dated without date on 25-1-98 received at the Dewan's Office from Sankaraja Heggade of Horanadu village, Mudigere taluk, appealing against the order of the Collector, Kadur dated directing the transfer of khata & from patel's name to that of Timma Heggade

is forwarded, together with its three enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

K.T.

for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

17/3/1898

15.3.98

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

9 (8)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
G. No. 7925
MAY 4 1898
Feb 07
REVENUE OFFICE

07747

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To
The Secretary to Govt
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 20th April 98
Despatched 30th
Received Camp Kaikatti
General No. 698
No.

Enclosure No. 76 papers

Reporting on the petition of Santiraja Hegade of Konad village Mudgere Taluk, appealing against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District directing the transfer of registry of Khata No 4 from petitioner's name to that of Jinnaiya Hegade.

Orders
4/9/98 L.R. 94-97-3.

Posted to 13th June 98

Rec 4
J.S. 9/5

Reg. Just 4
9/5/98
2/5/98

Camp, Kamloops.
Dated, 20th April 1898

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kamloops District

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Native Affairs Branch

With reference to official memorandum No. Edition 1161, dated 19th ultimo, forwarding for report petition of Sandarapi, Nyapeet of Spences Bridge Indian Band, appealing against the Dept. Commissioner's decision the transfer of property of Makeeno, from petitioner's name to that of Jimmyska Pierre, has the honor to submit the following report:—

(1) On the 15th November 1897 one Jimmyska Pierre forwarded a petition to the Indian Office, stating that he had purchased from one Makeeno, Nyapeet of the Spences Bridge Band, his house in the Nicomen Village, that the latter

(over)

dere in his enjoyment for the last 8 years that he was paying Kandayan on the lands from the date of purchase, and that therefore the law entitled the transfer of the registry of the said lands.

(2) An endorsement was given him by the Amildar on 3.1.96, requiring him to state definitely the numbers he had purchased and to produce the sale deed.

(3) In Nov/Dec 1896, the petitioner produced the attested copy of the sale deed dated 15.5.1878, duly registered and claimed registry of the lands sold in the Nomad Village

Survey No.	Area	Assessment
10	5.38	12. " "
11	9.11	15. " "
20	8.20	22 " "
28 Part	" 32	1. " "
50	5.16	12. " "
82	4.4	11. " "
93	3. "	8. " "
7/Part	" 12	" 8. "

in margin on the strength of the sale deed of 1878, and possession of these lands since date of purchase for Rs 2,500/-

(4) The case was

121.	3.29	21 " "	Map be carried
124	" 23	1. 8 "	in the special
135	" 31	15 " "	Diary Tahsil
143	5. "	7. 8 "	List for 1897-98,
155	3. 15	5 " "	in the name of
162	5. 15	9 " "	the Lambardar
164	10. 3	28 " "	officers. He
165	9. 39	25 " "	should in support
167	" 3	" 4 "	as follows:-
169	10. 13	27 " "	" The petition
173	10. 37	31 " "	of Jiwan Appa
174	11. 18	89 " "	Lathi, recorded
205 Rs.	117. 12	330. 12. "	as cultivating in the village of the
			sale deed are

Attached.

"It appears that the lands are mentioned are the same entered in the sale deed in which survey numbers have not been noted.

The Petitioner Ganpatraja Vegirade states that it is true he executed the said deed of 1898, in which it is stated he received the amount of consideration, viz Rs 2,500/-, set forth in the said deed, that he never gave possession

of

of the lands to Jinnapira Serki Nilwara, and that the Kiare should not be transferred to the Vendi's name."

"From the Khirai presented it is shown that since 1888, the purchaser Jinnapira Serki has been paying Kadayam and from the Sagarai Chilo another paper (copies produced by Jinnapira Serki) it appears that he had been in the possession and enjoyment of the Sagarai Chilo have been granted by the Khadian Santaraja Nagade. The Khadian admits the execution of the said deed. It appears that the Vendi has possession of the lands.

"The transfer may be effected in the name of the Vendi."

(5.) Mr. Weir, the Deputy Commissioner and Land Settlement Officer, after having perused and hearing the parties, passed the following decision, viz.:

"The parties being present and their objections being heard and

"A summary inquiry made, the notice required by Rule XXVI para 8, Land Revenue Rules, may be dispensed with. Santhappa admits execution of the document but urges that the consideration was not paid. On the summary inquiry made, it is proved that the lands were mortgaged by Santhappa to Shankraj and that the purchaser has paid the mortgage, at any rate in part - a balance of Rupees 700/- out of Rupees 2000/-, being stated to be still due.

"The purchaser is also in possession of at least a part of the lands, and has been paying the main portion of the kandayam viz Rs 181/- out of Rs 335/-. It appears to me therefore that the purchaser is prima facie entitled to registration and that the Revenue Authorities must postpone their right leaving it to Santhappa to make good any objection or claim he may have in a Civil Court."

Jinnappa

Jinnappa Setti will accordingly be registered as khatedar."

(6) The present appeal of Sankaraja Hegade is against this order. The appellant in his appeal petition urges among other things the following pleas for cancelling the order:

(a) As the strength of Rajikaleli (petition of pelinguishment), executed 18 years ago, the transfer has been retired in 1897-98.

(b) As the petitioner presenting a petition on 15-10-1880, to the Amildar not to sanction the pelinguishment, the khata has been kept on, in his name.

(7) With reference to the above it appears from the Head Amildar, that the transfer has not ordered on the strength of the Rajikaleli of may 1880 which has not been in the records but from a personal and summary enquiry and on the presentation of the deed by the purchaser.

(8) Admitting the petitioners allegation, para 6, it has been reported by the Amildar that on 30-5-1880 a petition of Rajika was presented by Sankaraja Hegga transfer

As concerning the Landlord Jamadar Lalli, that the consent of the Landlord and the Vendee, were furnished on the petition and signed by them, that same appeared by on 16-12-1880, Sardarsinja Jeyaraj executed another petition requesting that the Rajkabuli could be cancelled as the Jamadar Lalli had not asked up to the issuing of Jamabandi. It is necessary appear As there were several objections concerning the Rajkabuli — and the matter cannot be kept waiting for the time being. The present Amildar states that there are no differences to show why the Khata is not altered as per Rajkabuli recorded on 30-5-1880, by Sardarsinja Jeyaraj. The plaint remained that the Khata remained unchanged till the date of the Vendee order.

(9) The petition with its enclosures regarding the above is herewith returned and the required explanation is forwarded with reference to the future when concerned.

D. C. Clerk

Deputy Commissioner

Kaira District.

L.K. 44-97-A 1 (

Sub-D 2nd Court

In this case the appeal was struck off for default of pet'r's appearance on the 13th June last now applies for adjournment on the grounds mentioned in the petition. The case has not been heard

K 22/6/98

1894 (24)

No. 2979

Name of Petitioner Santa

Village

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 6-6-98

Date of Receipt 14-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

ABSTRACT

With reference to notice issued from this office directing registered him to appear before the Court on 13-6-98 for the hearing of this case, the petitioner states that he is unable to appear before the Court as he is suffering from fever, dysentery, sore-throat, on the specified date, & prays that another date of hearing may be fixed two months hence.

mg.
18/6/98

P. T. O.

order already passed and given effect to. Petitioner should not be allowed to waste time. Respondent's counsel does not require a stamp.

mg
23/6/98

Issue Warrant

K 24/6/98

The petitioner has ~~submitted~~
obtained the signatures of
some persons on his petition
to testify to his state of
health as stated above.

MJC
10/6/08

19

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D No. 2979

20

LR 94-97-5

30-6-98

Copy of the above is forwarded

To the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District, for information,
with reference to his letter No.
6988/30th April 1898.

2. The records received with
the above are herewith returned

By Order

For Secretary

Blm.
18/6.

92

LR 94-97-6

1528

1898 (6)

No. 345

Name of Petitioner Chinthi Raja Regan

Village Vaccinda

Taluk Mudgere

District Kadur

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 10-8-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Fifteen

ABSTRACT

This office Lr No 1528 dt 27-8-98 rejecting his Petn dt 25-1-98 on the ground of his absence at the time of hearing the case.

Petr urges :—

1. The notice fixing 3-6- as the date of hearing his case was duly served on him.

2. As he was suffering from a severe attack of fever and dysentery he was unable to attend at the hearing.

3. He represented his illness in his Petn dt 7-6-98 and prayed for an adjournment.

Refee
15/8/98

1325 of 97 & C No 12367 25-6-98
1528

Ram
16/8/98 Refer pleadings
AK
16/8/98

Subd (2R stamps affd to Petn)
Mly
17.8.98

Application for admission of an appeal dismissed for default of appellant
Subd to Rev Cr 183
17/8/98

17.8.98
263

Subd to 2nd Cr
12/9/98

Rd 7.30am
3/9/98

As the Dy Comr is requested to submit the records of the case
12-9-98

Subd
13/9/98

16.9.98

4. As the petr had in such cases
to attend in person he
could not send a Lawyer
on his behalf.
5. As he is far away (320 miles) from
the place of hearing, he
could not send a person
to represent his illness.
6. His petn of 7-6-98 contains
details about his illness and
~~[illegible]~~ and
the records produced by
him may be perused.
Prays that his case may
be taken on file again,
that a date may be fixed
for hearing and that
equitable orders may be
passed.

Enclosures

Patta of khat No 4.
Attachment Receipt of 5-6-98
Postal receipt.
Comm's order of 7-1-98.
This office Endt. No 1928 of 27-6-98
Authenticated copy of petn 6
& Mindpore Endt of 15-10-'80.
Crop estimate lists.
Affidavit & petn of 3-8-98.

15.
DSP
CR
3/8/98.

24

Inst. Refusal Arrival Mill 10/8/98

10-8-98	10.8.98

Submitted in person at Patna Branch
10/8/98

63 No. 345
10-8-98

1. [illegible] 2. [illegible]

[illegible]

4. [illegible]

5. [illegible]

6. [illegible]

7. [illegible]

8. [illegible] 1923 [illegible]

1 ಅಂಕಿತ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ

ಅಂಕಿತ 13

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

1. ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ.

ಅ) 82-12-1972 ಆ) 15-01-2019

ಇ) 27-12-1982 ಈ) 29-08-2024

2. ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಿ.

ಅ) ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯುವುದು ಏಕೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ?

ಆ) ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಯಾವ ತಪ್ಪುಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಬಾರದು?

ಇ) ಈ ಕೌಶಲ್ಯವನ್ನು ನಿಮ್ಮ ದೈನಂದಿನ ಜೀವನದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಗೆ ಬಳಸುತ್ತೀರಿ?

ಈ) ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲು ಕಲಿಯುವುದರಿಂದ ಏನು ಪ್ರಯೋಜನ?

ಉ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿತ ನಂತರ ನಿಮಗೆ ಏನು ಅನಿಸಿತು?

ಊ) ಈ ಪಾಠದಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗದ ಭಾಗ ಯಾವುದು?

ಋ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಎಷ್ಟು ಸಮಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರಿ?

ೠ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ನಿಮಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದರೇ?

ಌ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು ಬಳಸಿದಿರಿ?

ೡ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಆಟಗಳನ್ನು ಆಡಿದಿರಿ?

ೢ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದಿರಿ?

ೣ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಹಾಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದಿರಿ?

ೱ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಕಥೆಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿದಿರಿ?

ೲ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿದಿರಿ?

ೳ) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಉತ್ತರಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದಿರಿ?

೴) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಅಭ್ಯಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

೵) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿರಿ?

೶) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಫಲಿತಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರಿ?

೷) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರಿ?

೸) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಬಹುಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರಿ?

೹) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಸ್ಪರ್ಧೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದಿರಿ?

೺) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಶಿಬಿರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದಿರಿ?

೻) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಗಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದಿರಿ?

೼) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದಿರಿ?

೽) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಪ್ರವಾಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

೾) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಅನುಭವಗಳನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರಿ?

೿) ಈ ಪಾಠವನ್ನು ಕಲಿಯಲು ಯಾವ ಜ್ಞಾನವನ್ನು ಪಡೆದಿರಿ?

ಸಹಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ

27

1898 (7)

LR. 94-97-7

From

The Secretary to Govt
of Coorg Rev. Dept

To

The Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dt

Dated 16th
Despatched 19th Sept 1898
Received
General No. 2302.
P. No. 278

Enclosure No.

I am forwarding herewith Petns Nos 2979 and 34 received on 14-6-98 and 10-8-98 respectively, from Saubaraja Heggade in the Hosmad village Mudigere Taluk, here the honor to request that he will be good enough to enquire and report upon the truth or otherwise of the allegation of the petr that he was unable to attend on the hearing day on account of illness.

with 15 enclos.

2. The petns and their fifteen enclosures should be returned

By order

14/9/98 for Secretary

Rec'd 4/11.

Ret'd 28/11/98

Rep. put up. L.R. 94-97-S

AK 4/11/98

Subd. 5/11/98

Rec'd 7/11/98

Subd 26/11/98

Subd 2d Committee for orders as to whether the case may be re-heard

8/11/98

Granted so obtain orders of the 2d Committee also

26.11.98

Subd 1st Committee

26/11/98

Ret'd 28/11/98

Rec'd 28/11/98

POLICE DEPARTMENT
NOV 1898
No. 185
BULAWAYO

From The Commissioner
Kadoma Dt.

To The Secretary to Government
Genl. & Native Department.

Dated 26th

Despatched 27th October

Received

General No. 221/1898-99
No. 1/1/1898-99

Enclosure No. 1.

In returning the Enclosure received with the Secretary's Docket No. 230 - Rt-278 I have the honor to state that it is reported by the Constables of the Sudgeni Patrol that the allegation of the Petitioner Santanga Negguide that he was unable to attend on the hearing day on account of illness is true.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedient servant
Commissioner
Kadoma District

29 Myson 1898 (9)

SR. 94-97-9

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated 24th
Despatched 24 January 1899.
Received

General No. Pet
No. 601

Enclosure No.

His letter No. 2218 26th October 1898 reporting on the petition of Sankaraja Heggade of Maruvanthe Mudigere Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honour to request that the Deputy Commissioner will be so good as to forward to this office the records of the above case for submission to Government at an early date as the appeal stands posted for hearing on the 6th proximo.

By Order

for Secretary

Draft
Blen

ಫೈಲ್ ನಂ-ಕಂದಾಯ
ಫೈಲ್
7-2-99

Ord dt 24-1-99 received
with the Council clerk

7-2-99
Reference
7/2/99

L.R/94-97-10

30

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Rl. No. 4736
FEB 5 1899
189
RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE OF

From The Deputy Commr
Kadur District

To The Secretary to Govt
General and Revenue Dept

Dated 3rd

Despatched 4th February

Received

General No. 362
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Records

In reply to his docket
No dated 2nd ultimo,
I have the honor to for-
ward herewith the re-
cords connected with
the petition of appeal
of Nanjunaya Reddy
of Hennad village
Mudgere Taluk.

I have the
honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant
Deputy Commr
Kadur Dist

Recd
7/2

Ord M
6.2.99

(12) 31

Proceedings of the Court of Inquiry.

Appellant & Respondent

Santa raja Hegade Jinnappa shatte.

Read.

1. Petition of appeal No 1928 A.S. 63 of 97-98 presented by Santa raja Hegade of Honnad village, Mudigere Tk, against the order of the Asst Collr, Kadur dtd 8/5, directing the transfer of registry of the lands comprised in Khate No 4 of the said village, from the name of the Appellant to that of the Respt Jinna -ppa Shetti

2) Docket No 698, 30/4/90.

Draft for appl dtd 8th Sept Cancelled 27/4/95.

11032 appl

Signed Kaveri 27/4/95

Sent to Press with Proceedings

33

few days after the application for transfer of patta was received and the parties remained quiet for more than 18 years, the Govt consider it undesirable to order the transfer of patta in the name of the Vendee. The parties will be left to seek remedy in a Civil Court.

Mayhe minded

for Secretary

Draft:

Order:-

To, The Collector, Kadapa

Dist with Records.

To, The Govt Pleader of Kadapa

Proceedings Instructions 18/4 dated 18/4/1999 526.

+ 2 copies

15-4-99

from the Dy Comr, Kadur Dist:

(In extenso).

3) Records of the case.

Order thereon: R: 7241-8 / S.C. 74-78, 21.6.88 / 15

As there is a bona fide dispute between the parties as to the partial failure of the payment of the consideration which it is for a Civil Court to adjudicate upon, and as under Rule XXVI clause(8) of the Mysore L.R. Rules, the transferee has not been put in possession of all the lands specified in the Sale deed, and as the relinquishment was withdrawn by the transferee

34 కంపెనీ (11)

1928-345-ఎ

15-4-99

LR. 911-97-11